



2019 Local Government Community Satisfaction Survey

Mildura Rural City Council

Coordinated by the Department of
Environment, Land, Water and Planning
on behalf of Victorian councils




Contents

<u>Background and objectives</u>	<u>4</u>
<u>Key findings and recommendations</u>	<u>6</u>
<u>Summary of findings</u>	<u>12</u>
<u>Detailed findings</u>	<u>20</u>
<u>Overall performance</u>	<u>21</u>
<u>Customer service</u>	<u>24</u>
<u>Council direction</u>	<u>29</u>
<u>Individual service areas</u>	<u>33</u>
<u>Community consultation and engagement</u>	<u>34</u>
<u>Lobbying on behalf of the community</u>	<u>36</u>
<u>Decisions made in the interest of the community</u>	<u>38</u>
<u>Condition of sealed local roads</u>	<u>40</u>
<u>Detailed demographics</u>	<u>42</u>
<u>Appendix A: Index scores, margins of error and significant differences</u>	<u>44</u>
<u>Appendix B: Further project information</u>	<u>49</u>



Mildura Rural City Council – at a glance



Overall Council performance

Results shown are index scores out of 100.



Background and objectives



Background and objectives

The Victorian Community Satisfaction Survey (CSS) creates a vital interface between the council and their community.

Held annually, the CSS asks the opinions of local people about the place they live, work and play and provides confidence for councils in their efforts and abilities.

Now in its twentieth year, this survey provides insight into the community's views on:


- councils' overall performance with benchmarking against State-wide and council group results
- community consultation and engagement
- advocacy and lobbying on behalf of the community
- customer service, local infrastructure, facilities and
- overall council direction.

When coupled with previous data, the survey provides a reliable historical source of the community's views since 1998. A selection of results from the last seven years shows that councils in Victoria continue to provide services that meet the public's expectations.

Serving Victoria for 20 years

Each year the CSS data is used to develop the State-wide report which contains all of the aggregated results, analysis and data. Moreover, with 20 years of results, the CSS offers councils a long-term, consistent measure of how they are performing – essential for councils that work over the long term to provide valuable services and infrastructure to their communities.

Participation in the State-wide Local Government Community Satisfaction Survey is optional. Participating councils have various choices as to the content of the questionnaire and the sample size to be surveyed, depending on their individual strategic, financial and other considerations.





Key findings and recommendations



Overall performance


The overall performance index score of 57 for Mildura Rural City Council represents a slight, two-point decline on the 2018 result. Overall performance has remained relatively stable since 2012, with only a five point difference between Council's highest and lowest rating.

Mildura Rural City Council's overall performance is rated statistically significantly lower (at the 95% confidence interval) than the average rating for councils State-wide, and in line with councils in the Regional Centres group (index scores of 60 and 58 respectively).

- Residents aged 18 to 34 years (index score of 66) rate Council highest, and significantly higher than the Council average, on overall performance.
- Conversely, residents aged 50 to 64 years (index score of 48, down eight index points from 2018) rate Council lowest, and significantly lower than the Council average, on overall performance. This cohort also declined significantly in their impressions in the past year.

Almost three times as many residents rate Mildura Rural City Council's overall performance as 'very good' or 'good' (42%) as those who rate it as 'very poor' or 'poor' (15%). A further 40% sit mid-scale, rating Council's overall performance as 'average'.

Overall Council performance



Results shown are index scores out of 100.



Customer contact and service

Contact with council

Just fewer than half of Mildura Rural City Council residents (48%) have had contact with Council in the last 12 months. Rate of contact has decreased significantly from 2018 when it peaked at 56%.

- Residents aged 35 to 49 years had the most contact with council (64%) in 2019; rate of contact among this group is significantly higher than the Council average.
- Conversely, residents aged 18 to 34 years had the least contact with Council (32%); significantly lower than the Council average. This cohort also declined significantly in their rate of contact over the past year.

Customer service

Mildura Rural City Council's customer service index of 70 is in line with the 2018 result (index score of 71). Customer service ratings have been relatively consistent since 2012. Council's peak index score of 75 in this area was achieved in 2013. Performance on this measure is rated in line with the State-wide and Regional Centres group averages (index scores of 71 and 72 respectively).

Just under a third of residents (31%) rate Council's customer service as 'very good', with another 37% rating it as 'good', almost identical to 2018.

- While there are no significant differences across the demographic cohorts compared to the 2019 Council average, perceptions of customer service among residents aged 50 to 64 years (index score of 64) are significantly lower compared to 2018 (index score of 76).



Top performing areas and areas for improvement

Top performing areas

Customer service is the area where Mildura Rural City Council has performed most strongly overall (index score of 70).

Council achieved a performance index score between 53 and 57 on all other service areas evaluated and ratings are statistically comparable to the State-wide and Regional Centres group averages for councils. Beyond customer service, Council rates highest in the area of sealed local roads (index score of 57) and lowest on making community decisions (index score of 53), but there is only a difference of four index points between the two measures.

Areas for improvement

While Council performance on all measures is in line with the average for the Regional Centres group, there is opportunity to improve performance on a number of areas.

Notably, residents aged 50 to 64 years rate Council significantly below the Council-wide average on three key areas, thus bringing down performance ratings overall. This includes:

- Consultation and engagement (index score of 47 among residents aged 50 to 64 years versus the Council-wide average of 56)
- Community decisions (index score of 47 among residents aged 50 to 64 years versus the Council-wide average of 53)
- Lobbying (index score of 46 among residents aged 50 to 64 years versus the Council-wide average of 55).

Council should aim to improve perceptions of performance in these areas, especially amongst residents aged 50 to 64 years.



Focus areas for coming 12 months

Perceptions of Council remain consistent over the past year. This is a positive result for Council.

However, ratings on overall council direction declined significantly compared to 2018 (index score of 50, down six points). Notably:

- For the first time since 2016, the number of residents who feel that council direction has deteriorated (16%) is higher than residents who feel council direction has improved (15%).
- Again here, residents aged 50 to 64 are less favourable in their views (index score of 39, significantly below the Council-wide average).

Focusing on good communication and transparency with residents about decisions Council has made in the community's interest, along with community consultation and engagement, could help drive up opinion of Council's overall direction.

While performance is consistent with the average rating for councils in the Large Rural group, it is important not to become complacent. Mildura Rural City Council has recorded higher results on all key performance measures in the past – an indication that Council has the capacity to lift its performance ratings.

More generally, consideration should also be given to residents aged 50 to 64 years, who appear to be driving negative opinion in a number of areas in 2019 (as already discussed).

- It is also important not to ignore, and to learn from, what is working amongst other groups, especially residents aged 18 to 34 years, and use these lessons to build on performance experience and perceptions.



Further areas of exploration

An approach we recommend is to further mine the survey data to better understand the profile of these over and under-performing demographic groups. This can be achieved via additional consultation and data interrogation, self-mining the SPSS data provided, or via the dashboard portal available to the council.

A personal briefing by senior JWS Research representatives is also available to assist in providing both explanation and interpretation of the results. Please contact JWS Research on:

03 8685 8555




Summary of findings



Summary of core measures

Index scores






Summary of core measures

Performance Measures	Mildura 2019	Mildura 2018	Regional Centres 2019	State-wide 2019	Highest score	Lowest score
Overall Performance	57	59	58	60	Aged 18-34 years	Aged 50-64 years
Community Consultation (Community consultation and engagement)	56	56	54	56	Aged 18-34 years	Aged 50-64 years
Advocacy (Lobbying on behalf of the community)	55	53	54	54	Aged 18-34 years	Aged 50-64 years
Making Community Decisions (Decisions made in the interest of the community)	53	54	52	55	Aged 18-34 years	Aged 50-64 years
Sealed Local Roads (Condition of sealed local roads)	57	57	57	56	Aged 65+ years	Aged 50-64 years
Customer Service	70	71	72	71	Aged 35-49 years	Aged 50-64 years
Overall Council Direction	50	56	52	53	Aged 18-34 years	Aged 50-64 years



Summary of key community satisfaction


Key measures summary results (%)





Individual service area performance

2019 individual service area performance (index scores)




Q2. How has Council performed on [RESPONSIBILITY AREA] over the last 12 months?
 Base: All respondents. Councils asked state-wide: 63 Councils asked group: 8
 Note: Please see Appendix A for explanation of significant differences.



Individual service area performance

2019 individual service area performance (%)



Q2. How has Council performed on [RESPONSIBILITY AREA] over the last 12 months?
 Base: All respondents. Councils asked state-wide: 63 Councils asked group: 8

Individual service area performance vs State-wide average



Significantly Higher than State-wide Average

- Not applicable

Significantly Lower than State-wide Average

- Not applicable



Individual service area performance vs group average

Significantly Higher than Group Average

- Not applicable

Significantly Lower than Group Average

- Not applicable

DETAILED FINDINGS



Overall performance



Overall performance

2019 overall performance (index scores)

	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012
18-34	61	65▲	59	61	60	64	62
State-wide	59	59	59	60	61	60	60
Regional Centres	58	57	55	58	n/a	n/a	n/a
Men	60	60	53	56	52	59	58
Mildura	59	60	55	57	55	60	57
65+	63	57	54	56	55	56	55
Women	58	59	57	58	58	60	56
35-49	58	60	56	55	56	62	55
50-64	56	55	51	56	48	55	54


Q3. ON BALANCE, for the last twelve months, how do you feel about the performance of Mildura Rural City Council, not just on one or two issues, BUT OVERALL across all responsibility areas? Has it been very good, good, average, poor or very poor?

Base: All respondents. Councils asked state-wide: 63 Councils asked group: 8

Note: Please see Appendix A for explanation of significant differences.



Overall performance



Q3. ON BALANCE, for the last twelve months, how do you feel about the performance of Mildura Rural City Council, not just on one or two issues, BUT OVERALL across all responsibility areas? Has it been very good, good, average, poor or very poor?
 Base: All respondents. Councils asked state-wide: 63 Councils asked group: 8




Customer service



Contact with council

2019 contact with council (%)
Have had contact




Q5. Over the last 12 months, have you or any member of your household had any contact with Mildura Rural City Council? This may have been in person, in writing, by telephone conversation, by text message, by email or via their website or social media such as Facebook or Twitter?

Base: All respondents. Councils asked state-wide: 38 Councils asked group: 4



Contact with council

2019 contact with council (%)



Q5. Over the last 12 months, have you or any member of your household had any contact with Mildura Rural City Council? This may have been in person, in writing, by telephone conversation, by text message, by email or via their website or social media such as Facebook or Twitter?


Base: All respondents. Councils asked state-wide: 38 Councils asked group: 4

Note: Please see Appendix A for explanation of significant differences.



Customer service rating

2019 customer service rating (index scores)



Q5c. Thinking of the most recent contact, how would you rate Mildura Rural City Council for customer service? Please keep in mind we do not mean the actual outcome but rather the actual service that was received.

Base: All respondents who have had contact with Council in the last 12 months.


Councils asked state-wide: 63 Councils asked group: 8

Note: Please see Appendix A for explanation of significant differences.



Customer service rating

Customer service rating (%)



Q5c. Thinking of the most recent contact, how would you rate Mildura Rural City Council for customer service? Please keep in mind we do not mean the actual outcome but rather the actual service that was received.

Base: All respondents who have had contact with Council in the last 12 months.

Councils asked state-wide: 63 Councils asked group: 8



Council direction




Council direction summary

<p>Council direction</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 66% stayed about the same, up 6 points on 2018 • 15% improved, down 9 points on 2018 • 16% deteriorated, up 4 points on 2018
<p>Most satisfied with Council direction</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Aged 18-34 years
<p>Least satisfied with Council direction</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Aged 50-64 years



Overall council direction last 12 months

2019 overall direction (index scores)



Q6. Over the last 12 months, what is your view of the direction of Mildura Rural City Council's overall performance?


Base: All respondents. Councils asked state-wide: 63 Councils asked group: 8

Note: Please see Appendix A for explanation of significant differences.



Overall council direction last 12 months

2019 overall council direction (%)



Q6. Over the last 12 months, what is your view of the direction of Mildura Rural City Council's overall performance?
 Base: All respondents. Councils asked state-wide: 63 Councils asked group: 8




Individual service areas



Community consultation and engagement performance

2019 Consultation and engagement performance (index scores)



Q2. How has Council performed on 'Community consultation and engagement' over the last 12 months?


Base: All respondents. Councils asked state-wide: 63 Councils asked group: 8

Note: Please see Appendix A for explanation of significant differences.



Community consultation and engagement performance

2019 Consultation and engagement performance (%)




Q2. How has Council performed on 'Community consultation and engagement' over the last 12 months?
 Base: All respondents. Councils asked state-wide: 63 Councils asked group: 8



Lobbying on behalf of the community performance

2019 Lobbying performance (index scores)



Q2. How has Council performed on 'Lobbying on behalf of the community' over the last 12 months?


Base: All respondents. Councils asked state-wide: 63 Councils asked group: 8

Note: Please see Appendix A for explanation of significant differences.



Lobbying on behalf of the community performance

2019 Lobbying performance (%)




Q2. How has Council performed on 'Lobbying on behalf of the community' over the last 12 months?
 Base: All respondents. Councils asked state-wide: 63 Councils asked group: 8

Decisions made in the interest of the community performance



2019 Community decisions made performance (index scores)



Q2. How has Council performed on 'Decisions made in the interest of the community' over the last 12 months?


Base: All respondents. Councils asked state-wide: 63 Councils asked group: 8

Note: Please see Appendix A for explanation of significant differences.

Decisions made in the interest of the community performance



2019 Community decisions made performance (%)




Q2. How has Council performed on 'Decisions made in the interest of the community' over the last 12 months?
 Base: All respondents. Councils asked state-wide: 63 Councils asked group: 8

The condition of sealed local roads in your area performance



2019 Sealed local roads performance (index scores)



Q2. How has Council performed on 'The condition of sealed local roads in your area' over the last 12 months?


Base: All respondents. Councils asked state-wide: 63 Councils asked group: 8

Note: Please see Appendix A for explanation of significant differences.

The condition of sealed local roads in your area performance



2019 Sealed local roads performance (%)



Q2. How has Council performed on 'The condition of sealed local roads in your area' over the last 12 months?
 Base: All respondents. Councils asked state-wide: 63 Councils asked group: 8




Detailed demographics




Gender and age profile

2019 gender


Mildura



Regional Centres




State-wide




2019 age


Mildura



Regional Centres



State-wide




■ 18-24 ■ 25-34 ■ 35-49 ■ 50-64 ■ 65+

■ 18-24 ■ 25-34 ■ 35-49 ■ 50-64 ■ 65+

■ 18-24 ■ 25-34 ■ 35-49 ■ 50-64 ■ 65+

S3. [Record gender] / S4. To which of the following age groups do you belong?
 Base: All respondents. Councils asked state-wide: 63 Councils asked group: 8
 Please note that for the reason of simplifying reporting, interlocking age and gender reporting has not been included in this report.
 Interlocking age and gender analysis is still available in the dashboard and data tables provided alongside this report.

The background features large, dark blue letters 'N' and 'W' slanted to the right. The interior of these letters is filled with a satellite-style map of North America, overlaid with a glowing network of white and yellow lines, suggesting a data or infrastructure network.

Appendix A: Index scores, margins of error and significant differences



Appendix A: Index Scores

Index Scores

Many questions ask respondents to rate council performance on a five-point scale, for example, from 'very good' to 'very poor', with 'can't say' also a possible response category. To facilitate ease of reporting and comparison of results over time, starting from the 2012 survey and measured against the state-wide result and the council group, an 'Index Score' has been calculated for such measures.

The Index Score is calculated and represented as a score out of 100 (on a 0 to 100 scale), with 'can't say' responses excluded from the analysis. The '% RESULT' for each scale category is multiplied by the 'INDEX FACTOR'. This produces an 'INDEX VALUE' for each category, which are then summed to produce the 'INDEX SCORE', equating to '60' in the following example.

Similarly, an Index Score has been calculated for the Core question 'Performance direction in the last 12 months', based on the following scale for each performance measure category, with 'Can't say' responses excluded from the calculation.

SCALE CATEGORIES	% RESULT	INDEX FACTOR	INDEX VALUE
Very good	9%	100	9
Good	40%	75	30
Average	37%	50	19
Poor	9%	25	2
Very poor	4%	0	0
Can't say	1%	--	INDEX SCORE 60

SCALE CATEGORIES	% RESULT	INDEX FACTOR	INDEX VALUE
Improved	36%	100	36
Stayed the same	40%	50	20
Deteriorated	23%	0	0
Can't say	1%	--	INDEX SCORE 56



Appendix A: Margins of error

The sample size for the 2019 State-wide Local Government Community Satisfaction Survey for Mildura Rural City Council was n=401. Unless otherwise noted, this is the total sample base for all reported charts and tables.

The maximum margin of error on a sample of approximately n=401 interviews is +/-4.9% at the 95% confidence level for results around 50%. Margins of error will be larger for any sub-samples. As an example, a result of 50% can be read confidently as falling midway in the range 45.1% - 54.9%.

Maximum margins of error are listed in the table below, based on a population of 42,300 people aged 18 years or over for Mildura Rural City Council, according to ABS estimates.

Demographic	Actual survey sample size	Weighted base	Maximum margin of error at 95% confidence interval
Mildura Rural City Council	401	400	+/-4.9
Men	206	196	+/-6.8
Women	195	204	+/-7.0
18-34 years	66	113	+/-12.1
35-49 years	105	92	+/-9.6
50-64 years	117	99	+/-9.1
65+ years	113	95	+/-9.2



Appendix A: Significant difference reporting notation

Within tables and index score charts throughout this report, statistically significant differences at the 95% confidence level are represented by upward directing green (▲) and downward directing red arrows (▼).

Significance when noted indicates a significantly higher or lower result for the analysis group in comparison to the 'Total' result for the council for that survey question for that year. Therefore in the example below:


- ▲ The state-wide result is significantly higher than the overall result for the council.
- ▼ The result among 50-64 year olds is significantly lower than for the overall result for the council.

Further, results shown in green and red indicate significantly higher or lower results than in 2018.

Therefore in the example below:

- The result among 35-49 year olds in the council is **significantly higher** than the result achieved among this group in 2018.
- The result among 18-34 year olds in the council is **significantly lower** than the result achieved among this group in 2018.

Overall Performance – Index Scores
(example extract only)





Appendix A: Index score significant difference calculation

The test applied to the Indexes was an Independent Mean Test, as follows:

$$Z \text{ Score} = (\$1 - \$2) / \text{Sqrt} ((\$5^2 / \$3) + (\$6^2 / \$4))$$

Where:

- \$1 = Index Score 1
- \$2 = Index Score 2
- \$3 = unweighted sample count 1
- \$4 = unweighted sample count 2
- \$5 = standard deviation 1
- \$6 = standard deviation 2

All figures can be sourced from the detailed cross tabulations.

The test was applied at the 95% confidence interval, so if the Z Score was greater than +/- 1.954 the scores are significantly different.



Appendix B: Further project information



Appendix B: Further information

Further information about the report and explanations about the State-wide Local Government Community Satisfaction Survey can be found in this section including:

- Survey methodology and sampling
- Analysis and reporting
- Glossary of terms

Detailed survey tabulations

Detailed survey tabulations are available in supplied Excel file.

Contacts

For further queries about the conduct and reporting of the 2019 State-wide Local Government Community Satisfaction Survey, please contact JWS Research on

(03) 8685 8555 or via email:

admin@jwsresearch.com



Appendix B: Survey methodology and sampling

The 2019 results are compared with previous years, as detailed below:

- 2019, n=401 completed interviews, conducted in the period of 1st February – 30th March.
- 2018, n=400 completed interviews, conducted in the period of 1st February – 30th March.
- 2017, n=400 completed interviews, conducted in the period of 1st February – 30th March.
- 2016, n=400 completed interviews, conducted in the period of 1st February – 30th March.
- 2015, n=400 completed interviews, conducted in the period of 1st February – 30th March.
- 2014, n=400 completed interviews, conducted in the period of 31st January – 11th March.
- 2013, n=400 completed interviews, conducted in the period of 1st February – 24th March.
- 2012, n=400 completed interviews, conducted in the period of 18th May – 30th June.

Minimum quotas of gender within age groups were applied during the fieldwork phase. Post-survey weighting was then conducted to ensure accurate representation of the age and gender profile of the Mildura Rural City Council area.

Any variation of +/-1% between individual results and net scores in this report or the detailed survey tabulations is due to rounding. In reporting, ‘—’ denotes not mentioned and ‘0%’ denotes mentioned by less than 1% of respondents. ‘Net’ scores refer to two or more response categories being combined into one category for simplicity of reporting.

This survey was conducted by Computer Assisted Telephone Interviewing (CATI) as a representative random probability survey of residents aged 18+ years in Mildura Rural City Council.

Survey sample matched to the demographic profile of Mildura Rural City Council as determined by the most recent ABS population estimates was purchased from an accredited supplier of publicly available phone records, including up to 40% mobile phone numbers to cater to the diversity of residents within Mildura Rural City Council, particularly younger people.

A total of n=401 completed interviews were achieved in Mildura Rural City Council. Survey fieldwork was conducted in the period of 1st February – 30th March, 2019.



Appendix B: Analysis and reporting

All participating councils are listed in the State-wide report published on the DELWP website. In 2019, 63 of the 79 Councils throughout Victoria participated in this survey. For consistency of analysis and reporting across all projects, Local Government Victoria has aligned its presentation of data to use standard council groupings. Accordingly, the council reports for the community satisfaction survey provide analysis using these standard council groupings. Please note that councils participating across 2012-2019 vary slightly.

Council Groups

Mildura Rural City Council is classified as a Regional Centres council according to the following classification list:

Metropolitan, Interface, Regional Centres, Large Rural & Small Rural

Councils participating in the Regional Centres group are: Greater Bendigo, Greater Geelong, Horsham, Latrobe, Mildura, Wangaratta, Warrnambool and Wodonga.

Wherever appropriate, results for Mildura Rural City Council for this 2019 State-wide Local Government Community Satisfaction Survey have been compared against other participating councils in the Regional Centres group and on a state-wide basis. Please note that council groupings changed for 2015, and as such comparisons to council group results before that time can not be made within the reported charts.



Appendix B: Analysis and reporting

2012 survey revision

The survey was revised in 2012. As a result:

- The survey is now conducted as a representative random probability survey of residents aged 18 years or over in local councils, whereas previously it was conducted as a 'head of household' survey.
- As part of the change to a representative resident survey, results are now weighted post survey to the known population distribution of Mildura Rural City Council according to the most recently available Australian Bureau of Statistics population estimates, whereas the results were previously not weighted.
- The service responsibility area performance measures have changed significantly and the rating scale used to assess performance has also changed.

As such, the results of the 2012 State-wide Local Government Community Satisfaction Survey should be considered as a benchmark. Please note that comparisons should not be made with the State-wide Local Government Community Satisfaction Survey results from 2011 and prior due to the methodological and sampling changes. Comparisons in the period 2012-2019 have been made throughout this report as appropriate.



Appendix B: Analysis and reporting

Core, optional and tailored questions

Over and above necessary geographic and demographic questions required to ensure sample representativeness, a base set of questions for the 2019 State-wide Local Government Community Satisfaction Survey was designated as 'Core' and therefore compulsory inclusions for all participating Councils.

These core questions comprised:

- Overall performance last 12 months (Overall performance)
- Lobbying on behalf of community (Advocacy)
- Community consultation and engagement (Consultation)
- Decisions made in the interest of the community (Making community decisions)
- Condition of sealed local roads (Sealed local roads)
- Contact in last 12 months (Contact)
- Rating of contact (Customer service)
- Overall council direction last 12 months (Council direction)

Reporting of results for these core questions can always be compared against other participating councils in the council group and against all participating councils state-wide. Alternatively, some questions in the 2019 State-wide Local Government Community Satisfaction Survey were optional. Councils also had the ability to ask tailored questions specific only to their council.



Appendix B: Analysis and reporting

Reporting

Every council that participated in the 2019 State-wide Local Government Community Satisfaction Survey receives a customised report. In addition, the state government is supplied with a state-wide summary report of the aggregate results of 'Core' and 'Optional' questions asked across all council areas surveyed.

Tailored questions commissioned by individual councils are reported only to the commissioning council and not otherwise shared unless by express written approval of the commissioning council.

The overall State-wide Local Government Community Satisfaction Report is available at <http://www.delwp.vic.gov.au/local-government/strengthening-councils/council-community-satisfaction-survey>.



Appendix B: Glossary of terms

Core questions: Compulsory inclusion questions for all councils participating in the CSS.

CSS: 2019 Victorian Local Government Community Satisfaction Survey.

Council group: One of five classified groups, comprising: metropolitan, interface, regional centres, large rural and small rural.

Council group average: The average result for all participating councils in the council group.

Highest / lowest: The result described is the highest or lowest result across a particular demographic sub-group e.g. men, for the specific question being reported. Reference to the result for a demographic sub-group being the highest or lowest does not imply that it is significantly higher or lower, unless this is specifically mentioned.

Index score: A score calculated and represented as a score out of 100 (on a 0 to 100 scale). This score is sometimes reported as a figure in brackets next to the category being described, e.g. men 50+ (60).

Optional questions: Questions which councils had an option to include or not.

Percentages: Also referred to as ‘detailed results’, meaning the proportion of responses, expressed as a percentage.

Sample: The number of completed interviews, e.g. for a council or within a demographic sub-group.

Significantly higher / lower: The result described is significantly higher or lower than the comparison result based on a statistical significance test at the 95% confidence limit. If the result referenced is statistically higher or lower then this will be specifically mentioned, however not all significantly higher or lower results are referenced in summary reporting.

Statewide average: The average result for all participating councils in the State.

Tailored questions: Individual questions tailored by and only reported to the commissioning council.

Weighting: Weighting factors are applied to the sample for each council based on available age and gender proportions from ABS census information to ensure reported results are proportionate to the actual population of the council, rather than the achieved survey sample.

**THERE ARE
OVER
6 MILLION
PEOPLE IN
VICTORIA...**

**FIND OUT
WHAT THEY'RE
THINKING.**



Contact us
03 8685 8555



Follow us
[@JWSResearch](#)

John Scales
Managing Director
jcales@jwsresearch.com

Mark Zuker
Managing Director
mzucker@jwsresearch.com

Katrina Cox
Director of Client Services
kcox@jwsresearch.com

